



288 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-9201-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 08.04.2025

VINOD KUMAR KAKKAR AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Harpal Singh Ishar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG Punjab and
Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.11 dated 21.01.2019 under Sections 406/420 of IPC (charges framed under Sections 120-B/420/406 of IPC and Section 13 of Punjab Travel Professionals Regulation Act, 2012 and 24(1)(g) of the Emigration Act, 1983) registered at Police Station Mataur, District SAS Nagar, Mohali (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise deed dated 07.02.2025 (Annexure P-2).

Learned State counsel, at the outset, on instructions from Investigating Officer submits that one accused namely Ruchi Verma has not been impleaded as petitioner in the present case being accused in the FIR(supra). Further the partial compromise is not permissible in terms of the reference answered by the Division Bench of this Court in CRM-M-48043-2023 decided on 12.11.2024 titled as '*Rakesh Das Vs. State of Haryana and Another*'.

In view of the above, present petition is disposed of as such.

However, liberty is granted to the petitioners to file a fresh petition on the same cause of action after impleading all the accused persons.

08.04.2025

Ajay Goswami

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No