



**CRM-36133-2025 in/and
CRM-M-8965-2025**

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

124

**CRM-36133-2025 in/and
CRM-M-8965-2025
Decided on :15.09.2025**

Balvir Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Arshdeep Singh Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. P.K. Jhanda, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. G.S. Sidhu, Advocate for
for respondent No.2.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

CRM-36133-2025

i) Present application has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, seeking preponement of the actual date of hearing of main case i.e. CRM-M-8965-2025 from 30.09.2025 to any earlier date.

ii) Learned counsel for the applicant-petitioner submits that petitioner No.1, Balvir Singh, is a senior citizen aged 67 years, and that all his family members are settled in Canada. It is further submitted that petitioner No.1 is in urgent need of travelling abroad to meet his family. In view of the above, counsel prays for preponement of the date of hearing and for disposal of the petition seeking quashing of the FIR on



**CRM-36133-2025 in/and
CRM-M-8965-2025**

the basis of a compromise, in light of the report received from the Court below

- iii) Notice of the application.
- iv) Mr. P.K. Jhanda, Sr. DAG, Haryana and Mr. G.S. Sidhu, Advocate appear on behalf of respondent No. 1 and 2 respectively. TThey have no objection if the prayer made by the applicants-petitioners is allowed.
- v) In view of the submissions addressed by learned counsel for the parties, present application is allowed. Accordingly, main case, CRM-M-8965-2025, is preponed from 30.09.2025 to 15.09.2025, i.e., today itself.
- vi) Application stands disposed of.

CRM-M-8965-2025

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, seeking quashing of the below detailed First Information Report (FIR), and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 23.01.2025(P-2), effected between the parties.

DETAILS OF CRIMINAL CASE:-

FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station
259	16.12.2024	125,109, 3(5) of BNS and Sections 25, 27 of Arms Act	Nihal Singh Wala, District Moga

2. With regard to Section 109 of the BNS, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that it is a case of no injury, as the shot was allegedly fired in the air and was not aimed at any person.



**CRM-36133-2025 in/and
CRM-M-8965-2025**

3

3. Vide order dated 19.02.2025 and 04.04.2025, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.

4. Report has since been received from learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Nihal Singh Wala in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

5. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report. The relevant part of the said report is reproduced herebelow:

Sr. No.	Description	
1.	Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute/FIR	Two accused
2.	Number of complainant/victim(s)	One victim/complainant- Mandeep Kaur
3.	Whether all the accused and complainant / victims are party to compromise & signed the same	Yes
4.	In case, any affected person(accused or complainant) is left out or not arrayed as party in the quashing petition before High Court, detail whereas;	No complainant/accused has been left out in the petition and all have been arrayed as party in the petition.



CRM-36133-2025 in/and
CRM-M-8965-2025

4

	Or His/her statement is till to be recorded, in compliance to the direction of this Court, details of such person	
5.	Whether any accused has been declared as a proclaimed offender/person or any such proceedings against him/her have been initiated or pending adjudication	No
6.	Report of the Court whether compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence	Yes
7.	Any other aspect relevant to the present case.	No.

6. Learned State counsel submits that petitioners are the only named accused in the FIR in question, and that the private respondents are complainant/aggrieved parties therein. Moreover, respondent No. 3, Sukhdeep Singh, has been impleaded as a party in the present petition for quashing on compromise, although his impleadment is not necessary as per the report received.

7. In view of the report of the learned learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Nihal Singh Wala, and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.



CRM-36133-2025 in/and
CRM-M-8965-2025

5

8. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms
of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below

9. Petition stands disposed of.

15.09.2025
rashmi

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**