

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

266 (3 Cases)

ARB-110-2024
Date of Decision: 30.01.2025

Pioneer Facility Management Limited

...Applicant

Versus

Rajeev Vijay and another

...Respondents

With

Sr. No.	Case No.	Applicant	Respondent(s)
2.	ARB-112-2024	Pioneer Facility Management Limited	Shyam Sunder Sharma and another
3.	ARB-114-2024	Pioneer Facility Management Limited	Phanindra Nichanametla and another

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Rajat Khanna, Advocate,
Mr. Vijay Pratap Singh, Advocate for the applicants
Mr. Rohit Gupta, Advocate for the respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. As common issues are involved in the above captioned applications, the same are hereby disposed of by this common order. The facts for the sake of brevity and convenience are borrowed from *ARB-110-2024*.
2. Through instant application under Section 11 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short ‘**1996 Act**’), the applicant is seeking appointment of an Arbitrator.

**ARB-110-2024 and connected matters****-2-**

3. The parties entered into Facility Maintenance Services Agreement dated 07.06.2018 (Annexure P-1). A dispute erupted between the parties. There is an arbitration clause in the aforesaid agreement. The execution of agreement, arbitration clause therein and service of notice under Section 21 of 1996 Act is not disputed.

4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that tenure of the contract/agreement has expired. The respondents to show their *bona fide* have attempted to pay charges through Residents Welfare Association, however, the applicant has refused to accept the same which shows its act and conduct. The applicant was supposed to hand over the possession of the complex to the Residents Welfare Association which would maintain the same. In any case, the Arbitral Tribunal may be directed to consider this aspect.

5. The issues raised by respondents need to be answered by Arbitral Tribunal. The respondents are at liberty to raise all these issues before the Arbitral Tribunal and this Court is sanguine of the fact that Arbitral Tribunal would consider all the issues raised by the parties.

6. Conditions to invoke power conferred by Section 11(6) of 1996 Act stand satisfied, thus, I hereby appoint a sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the dispute between the parties.

7. Mr. Arun Kumar Singal, District & Sessions Judge (Retd.), residing at #A-61, Second Floor, Palladians, Near Baani Square, Sector 47, Gurugram, Haryana, Mobile No.9991743666 is hereby appointed as a Sole

Arbitrator to adjudicate the dispute between the parties, subject to

**ARB-110-2024 and connected matters****-3-**

compliance of statutory requirements. The learned Arbitrator is requested to comply with mandate of Section 12 of 1996 Act before proceeding further.

8. Parties are directed to appear before the learned Arbitrator on date, time and place to be fixed by the Arbitrator at his convenience.

9. The Arbitrator is entitled to fee in accordance with the Fourth Schedule of the 1996 Act, as amended, however, he, in view of large number of respondents, is requested to take care of quantum of fee to be charged from the respondents.

10. The Arbitrator is requested to complete the proceedings as per time limit specified under Section 29-A of the 1996 Act.

11. Needless to mention, parties would be at liberty to raise all the claims/defences/counter claims/pleas before the Arbitrator. Any observation made hereinabove will not be binding on the learned Arbitrator.

12. A request letter along with copy of this order be sent to Mr. Arun Kumar Singal.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

30.01.2025*Mohit Kumar*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No