



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-1731-2001 (O&M)
Date of decision: 02.12.2025

Raj Pal DangiPetitioner

Versus

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-1, Faridabad
and anotherRespondents

CWP-1732-2001 (O&M)

Bhupinder SinghPetitioner

Versus

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-1, Faridabad
and anotherRespondents

CWP-1741-2001 (O&M)

Yash PalPetitioner

Versus

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-1, Faridabad
and anotherRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: None for the petitioners.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. Mr. Sube S. Kaushik, Advocate, has caused appearance on behalf of the respondent-Management, by filing duly executed vakalatnamas in all the cases, as captioned above. At the outset, he submits that the contesting parties have already arrived at an amicable settlement, as the petitioners-workmen have been reinstated into services.

In this regard, he has produced a copy of the Memorandum of



Understanding dated 04.04.2003, which is taken on record as Mark ‘A’.
Therefore, he submits that nothing remains to be adjudicated in these cases, and the same may be disposed of, as such.

2. However, neither the petitioners-workmen, nor anyone on their behalf is present in any of the cases, to controvert the statement made by learned counsel for the respondent-Management. Therefore, this Court is left with no other option, but to **dismiss** the instant writ petitions for **want of prosecution**.

3. Ordered accordingly.

4. In the event, any cause of action/grievance still subsists, the petitioners are at liberty to revive the instant petitions by moving an apt application.

5. A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of connected cases.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

02.12.2025
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No