



**409 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-1051-2004 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 03.12.2025

Jaswinder Kaur and Others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Arun Jindal, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking setting aside of impugned orders dated 28.04.2000 and 16.05.2000.

2. Learned counsel representing the petitioners submits that petitioners were granted *ad hoc* promotion which was fortuitous. The said promotion was withdrawn. The respondent made a statement before Hon'ble Supreme Court in connected matters to the effect that petitioners would be granted one time relaxation. The petitioners were not granted relaxation.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record with their able assistance.

4. From the perusal of record, it is evident that petitioners were granted *ad hoc* promotion which was out of turn and beyond the prescribed quota. There was no statement before Supreme Court with

respect to petitioners. The rules were never amended as claimed by petitioners. This Court cannot ask State to promote petitioners or confirm their *ad hoc* promotion in the absence of any particular Rule or substantive right of the petitioners.

5. In the wake of aforesaid discussion and findings, the instant petition deserves to be dismissed and accordingly ***dismissed***.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

03.12.2025
Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No