



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

354

CWP-19848-2002 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 16.09.2025

SATWANT KAUR AND ORS.

...Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Raywant Kaushish, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. Aman Dhir, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking setting aside of Annexure P-4 and Annexure P-5 whereby respondent has initiated recovery proceedings against them.

2. Learned State counsel at the outset submits that the State has amended applicable Rules and as per amended Rules families of ex-employees are entitled to Dearness Allowance despite getting compassionate appointment. At the time of filing instant petition, the petitioners were not entitled to Dearness Allowance because their one family member got compassionate appointment.

3. The petitioners are getting Dearness Allowance as per amended Rules. While issuing notice of motion, this Court vide order dated 13.12.2002 stayed recovery.

- 4. In the wake of changed circumstances, this Court finds it appropriate to make order dated 13.12.2002 absolute.
- 5. Disposed of.
- 6. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

16.09.2025
Deepak DPA

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No