



**113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1761-2025

Date of Decision: 20.02.2025

Gagan Deep Singh and another Petitioners
Versus
State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Fariad Singh Virk, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners have filed the present petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to provide protection to life and liberty to the petitioner, as they are living in live-in relationship and also directing respondent No.4 not to interfere in the personal lives and liberty of the petitioners and not to harass them in any manner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that both the petitioners are major and are living in live-in relationship against the wishes of private respondent. He submits that petitioner No.1 is unmarried, however, petitioner No.2 married with respondent No.4 and due to matrimonial differences with her husband, she is living separately with petitioner No.1. It is further submitted that the petitioners apprehend danger from respondent No.4. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 07.02.2025 (Annexure P-3) to respondent no.2 for granting protection to their life and liberty.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf

of the State.

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent no.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, to decide the representation (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

6. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of their live-in relationship.

20.02.2025			(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
sharmila			JUDGE
	Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No