



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9134-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-21.02.2025

Manjeet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Sarfaraj Anjum Mor, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ayuwan Singh, AAG, Haryana.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI J.(Oral)

1. The present is a first petition filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.26 dated 12.01.2025 under Sections 74, 76, 133, 351 (3) of BNS, registered at Police Station Kundli, District Sonipat, Haryana.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that petitioner has been in custody for 01 month and 09 days and the case is at the investigation stage. He further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are false and that there is no direct evidence to support them and therefore he may be considered for the grant of regular bail.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel submitted that insofar as the custody of the petitioner is concerned, it is correct. While referring to the allegations contained in the FIR, he submitted that the case is at the investigation stage and considering the seriousness of the allegations against the petitioner, there is every likelihood that, if he is released on bail, he may



not only flee from justice but may also influence the witnesses and the complainant and may even attempt to hamper the investigation process. He submitted that considering the fact that the petitioner has been in custody for only 1 month and 9 days and the case is still at the investigation stage, there is strong apprehension regarding the aforesaid and he ultimately opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner.

4. I have heard the learned counsels for the parties.

5. It is a case where the custody of the petitioner has come out to be about 01 month and 09 days. As per the learned counsels for the parties, the case is still at the investigation stage. The contents of the FIR are reproduced as under:-

“ To, Chowki In charge, Respected Sir, it is respectfully submitted that I Vanshika wife of Anil R/o Safiabad and working as housewife. That Manjit son of Rajinder R/o Nahari is having family terms and used to visit our house since long. And he was keeping bad eyes upon me. That on dated 12.01.2025, my family members including my husband went to another place to perform marriage ceremonies of my sister-in-law. After 10 minutes of leaving them, Manjit came outside my house and knocked the doors, as I opened the door, he came inside and pressed my breast and torn out my clothes, when I raised alarm, suddenly my mother-in-law came on the spot and on seeing her, he fled from the spot after extending life threats to kill me. Thereafter, I disclosed entire episode to my family. Hence it is requested kindly take strict action against him. Sd/- Vanshika Mobile 8818017605....Police Proceedings.”

6. This Court is of the considered view that, considering the stage of the case, which is at the investigation stage, and the role attributed to the petitioner as per the FIR, this Court would not make any observations on the



merits of the case. However, for the purpose of considering bail, this Court is of the considered view that the petitioner is not entitled to the grant of regular bail.

7. Finding no merit in the present petition, the same is hereby dismissed.

8. However, anything observed hereinabove shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and is meant for the purpose of deciding the present petition only.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

21.02.2025
shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No