



CRWP-1546-2025

-1-

**118 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-1546-2025**

Date of Decision: 14.02.2025

Sarbjit Kaur and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**

Present: Mr. Rahul Singh, Advocate and  
Ms. Rashika Bansal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

**Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)**

1. The petitioners have filed the present petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and to ensure safety of the person and property of the petitioners which is under threat at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 13 and their friends and relatives.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 13. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 13. Their marriage certificate and marriage photographs evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexures P-3 and P-4. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 10.02.2025 (Annexure P-5) to Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala.

3. Heard.

4. A perusal of the file shows that the petitioner has not impleaded Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala as respondent in the petition.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for allowing him to implead the Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala as respondent in

the present petition and file amended memo of parties during the course of the day.

6. Allowed to do so.
7. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.
8. Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State.
9. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent no.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala (as per amended memo of parties) to decide the representation (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.
10. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

14.02.2025  
sharmila

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)  
JUDGE

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether Speaking/Reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | : | Yes/No |