



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

216 CWP-5080-2020
Date of decision: 10.11.2025

Mukkan alias Mookan ...Petitioner
Versus

Union Territory Chandigarh and others ...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Rajesh Verma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Gurleen Kaur, Advocate, for
Mr. Lajwant S. Virk, Advocate,
for the respondent.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. In the present case, challenge is to the order dated 30.05.2018 (Annexure P-4) passed by the learned Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, whereby petition filed by petitioner herein was dismissed as being time barred.
2. After arguing for some time, as disputed question of fact exists even as per the document submitted by the petitioner himself i.e. whether the date of birth of the petitioner can be treated as May 1966 instead of February 1960, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that present writ petition may kindly be disposed having been not pressed any further with liberty to avail the remedy before the Civil Court to prove that the actual date of birth of the petitioner is 15.05.1966 and not 03.02.1960.
3. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

November 10, 2025
anil

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No