

**In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh**

[148]

CWP-4013-2025**Date of Decision: 13.02.2025**

KEHAR SINGH

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Divyam Singh Dhakla, Advocate and
Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Arya, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G. Haryana with
Ms. Svaneel Jaswal, Addl. A.G. Haryana,
Mr.P.P. Chahar, Sr. DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Gaurav Bansal, DAG, Haryana and
Mr. Karan Jindal, AAG, Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the concurrently made orders of eviction against the present petitioner, have been challenged by way of a revision petition (Annexure P-5), and, despite an application made therein for staying the operation of the said passed verdict(s) of eviction, but with no decision becoming recorded thereons, thus, whereby the Executing Court is pressing for effectively ensuring the execution of the said passed verdict(s) of eviction.

2. Therefore, the learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that in case the Revisional Authority makes a decision in favour of the present petitioner, thereupons, if the verdict(s) of eviction becoming enforced and the delivery of possession of the subject land(s) is made to the judgment debtors, as such, present petitioner would be ill-led to become ill-engaged in unnecessary restitutory remedies.

3. The above arguments appeals to the judicious conscience of this Court and, thereby, the Revisional Authority seized with Annexure P-5, is directed to not only expeditiously decide the revision petition, but also prior to making a decision on the revision petition, is also directed to make a lawful



order on the application filed by the present petitioner seeks the staying of the operation of the verdict(s) of eviction has become challenged in Annexure P-5.

4. The decision on the said interim application be positively passed within a period of 10 days from today and till then the parties are directed to maintain status quo, as exists today, in respect of the disputed land(s)/premise(s).

5. Disposed of alongwith all pending applications, if any.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

13.02.2025
Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No