



CWP-4328-2025

:1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

124

CWP-4328-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision : 17.02.2025

PROMILA KUMARI AND OTHERS

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present :- Mr. Baljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. T. P. S. Chawla, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the claim being raised by the petitioners is that, as they had been re-designated as Junior Assistant on 01.01.1996 alongwith others, the petitioners are also entitled for equal pay status and all consequential benefits, alongwith revised pay scale w.e.f. 01.01.2006, as has already been granted to the petitioners in **CWP No.22422 of 2010 titled as Anil Kumar and others vs. State of Punjab and others, decided on 16.05.2012** (Annexure P-3), which judgment has already been upheld in LPA No.1729 of 2012 and further, SLP has also been dismissed though, the question of law has been kept opened.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners



CWP-4328-2025

:2

are not being given the said benefit as has been claimed in the present petition only on the ground that the petitioners in the present petition were not party in **Anil Kumar's case (supra)**.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that because of the denial of benefit to the petitioners an anomaly has come into light wherein Junior Assistants who are senior have been denied the benefit of the pay scale whereas the juniors to the petitioners, who were party in **Anil Kumar's case (supra)**, have already been granted the benefit of the pay scale, which is causing prejudice to the petitioners hence, the petitioners are also entitled for all the same benefits as have been given to the petitioner in **Anil Kumar's case (supra)**.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. T. P. S. Chawla, Senior DAG, Punjab who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the claim of all the petitioners will be considered in terms of the judgment in **Anil Kumar's case (supra)** and in case, they are found similarly situated as the petitioners in **Anil Kumar's case (supra)**, the benefit will be extended to the petitioners and in case, any of the petitioner is not similarly situated as petitioners in **Anil Kumar's case (supra)**, appropriate order will be passed with due reasons regarding their entitlement for the grant of pay scale as extended to the petitioner in **Anil Kumar's case (supra)**.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petitions may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.



CWP-4328-2025 :3

- 7. Ordered accordingly.
- 8. Civil miscellaneous application pending if any, also stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

17.02.2025
Rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No