


2025:PHHC:127354



**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

243

CRWP-1631-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 15.09.2025

Rajesh Kumar Sachar**...Petitioner**

Versus

State of Punjab and others**...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA**

Present:- Mr. Manoj R. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Roshandeep Singh, AAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking directions to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect his life and liberty and to comply with the provisions of Section 160 of Cr.P.C.

2. Status report has been filed by the respondent-State. It is submitted therein and learned State counsel has submitted that an FIR bearing No. 13 dated 07.02.2025, under Section 125 of BNS, 2023 and Sections 25, 27 and 30 of the Arms Act has been registered against the petitioner at Police Station Kalanaur on the statement of ASI Gurnam Singh on the basis of a video in which the petitioner is seen to be openly brandishing a fire arm/pistol in a public gathering. Soon after registration of the said FIR, the petitioner has filed the present petition in order to exert pressure on the police officials. The petitioner is a habitual offender because as many as 09 FIRs had been registered against him, out of which, in two cases, he has been convicted, in

2025:PHHC:127354



two cases, he has been acquitted, two cases have been quashed and three cases are still pending against him. The petitioner has been extended benefit of bail in FIR No. 13. He had submitted a representation dated 07.02.2025, which is pending consideration. Notice has been sent to the petitioner but he has not come forward to record his statement. The petitioner has never been harassed by any police official. During inquiry, no threat was found to his life and liberty.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner could not dispute the aforesaid facts.

4. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and going through the record, no case is made out for issuing any direction to the official respondents. Hence, the petition is dismissed.

15.09.2025

Waseem Ansari

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No