



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRR-448-2025 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 20.02.2025

Parkash Chand

...Petitioner

Versus

Jaswinder Singh and Another

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH**

Present: Mr. Liaqat Ali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Balram Prashar, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. J.S. Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab.  
for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*

**KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

**CRM-6935-2025**

For the reasons given in the application, coupled with no objection pleaded on behalf of counsel for complainant/respondent No.1, the present application is allowed and delay of 1719 days in filing the revision petition is hereby condoned.

**CRR-448-2025**

1. The present revision petition has been filed by the petitioner/accused seeking setting aside of judgment dated 19.02.2020 passed by the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana whereby the appeal filed by the petitioner against judgment and order dated 14.09.2017 passed by the Court of Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana, whereby petitioner was convicted and sentenced to RI for a period of 8 months and to



pay compensation of Rs.1,75,000/- under Section 138 NI Act, was dismissed.

2. The brief facts of the case are that the petitioner issued cheque No.830299 dated 13.08.2014 of Rs.1,75,000/- drawn on UCO Bank, New Grain Market, Ludhiana, in favour of complainant/respondent No.1 Jaswinder Singh, in discharge of his legal liability. On presentation, the said cheque was dishonored and on completion of all the formalities, respondent No.1 filed complaint under Section 138 NI Act and on conclusion of trial, petitioner was convicted and sentenced to imprisonment and to pay a compensation as has been detailed in the opening paragraph of the present judgment.

3. Being aggrieved, petitioner filed an appeal which was also dismissed by the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana vide judgment dated 19.02.2020. Still being not satisfied, the petitioner filed the present revision petition.

4. In the present revision petition, it appears that the matter has been compromised between the parties and the concerned compromise deed is Annexure P-1. Today, the counsel for respondent No.1 made statement that the matter has been compromised and the entire settled amount is already paid by the petitioner to respondent No.1. He further stated that respondent No.1 is having no objection if offence punishable under Section 138 NI Act is compounded and the petitioner is acquitted. Even, the counsel for the petitioner has admitted factum of compromise and made prayer that the present petition be allowed.

5. Offence punishable under Section 138 NI Act is



compoundable. It is apparent that parties have settled their dispute with regard to dishonor of cheque in question and the settled amount has been received by respondent No.1. In the given circumstances, permission is hereby given to the parties to compound an offence punishable under Section 138 NI Act. Consequently, petitioner deserves to be acquitted of an offence punishable under Section 138 NI Act.

6. For the foregoing reasons, the present petition is allowed and the judgments/orders passed by the Courts below are set aside and the petitioner is acquitted of an offence punishable under Section 138 NI Act. In case, the petitioner is not required by the police in any other criminal case, he is to be released immediately by the concerned jail authorities.

7. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of in same terms.

**20.02.2025**

*Yogesh*

**(KARAMJIT SINGH)  
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned:-  
Whether reportable:-**

**Yes/No  
Yes/No**