



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-8258-2025

Date of Decision:13.02.2025

Treisha

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Utkarsh Jaiswal, Advocate with
Mr. Vivek Sheoran, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. I.P.S Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the second petition under Section 482 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to her in case FIR No. 0006, dated 06.08.2024, registered under Sections 406,420 of IPC and Section 66(D) of the Information Technology Act at Cyber Crime Police Station, District Sangrur, offences under Section 24 of the Immigration Act,1983 and Section 13 of the Punjab Travel Professionals Regulations Act added later on.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that earlier the petitioner was granted the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 11.11.2024 (Annexure P-3). He further contends that the petitioner has now come to know that the offences under Section 24 of the Immigration Act,1983 and Section 13 of the Punjab Travel Professionals Regulations Act have been added during the course of investigation.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. I.P.S Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and Mr. K.S Brar, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the complainant.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

6. It is not in dispute that the petitioner was earlier granted the concession of anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 11.11.2024 (Annexure P-3). Now, from the notice (Annexure P-4), she has come to know that the offences under Section 24 of the Immigration Act,1983 and Section 13 of the Punjab Travel Professionals Regulations Act have been added in the present case. However, in the considered opinion of this Court, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner may not be required.

7. Thus, without commenting any further, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is granted concession of anticipatory bail, subject to the conditions as provided under Sections 482 (2) of B.N.S.S. It will be open for the Investigating Officer to call the petitioner to join the investigation, if so required, by issuing a written notice in this regard and she shall abide by the conditions mentioned in Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S.

13.02.2025

hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No