



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-1498-2025
DECIDED ON: 01.03.2025

SHEETAL AND ANR

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Deepak Kumar Bartia, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus seeking direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioners (Live-in-relationship) which is under eminent threats at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

Mr. R.P.S. Jammu, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No.4 to 6 and has filed *Vakalatnama*, which is taken on record.

Reply by way of an affidavit of Ram Kumar, HPS, DSP, Shahabad, Kurukshetra has been filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, which is taken on record. Relevant Para No.2 of the said affidavit reads as under:-

“2. That in compliance of orders of this Hon'ble Court the answering respondents/ deponent has deputed ASI

Jaswinder Singh no.428/KKR, Police Station Shahabad, District Kurukshetra and directed him to look the matter and after that ASI Jaswinder Singh met with petitioners and asked about the matter then they have stated that now there is no danger of life from the hands of private respondents no.4 to 6. ASI Jaswinder Singh also met with the respondent no.4 to 6 and inquired the matter and they have also stated they will not cause any harm or loss to the life of petitioners and will not interfere in their life. The copy of statement of petitioners is enclosed herewith as Annexure R-1 and Annexure R-2. The copies of statements of respondent no.3 to 6 are also enclosed herewith as Annexure R-3 to Annexure R-5 for kind perusal of this Hon'ble Court. The station House Officer, Shahabad District Kurukshetra also made his report in the present matter and submitted the same before the Superintendent of Police Kurukshetra and copy of report of SHO Shahabad, District Kurukshetra is also enclosed herewith as Annexure R-6 for kind perusal of this Hon'ble Court.”

A perusal of the above would depict that the petitioners have made a statement to the effect that there is no danger to their life and liberty at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 6, as is evident from Annexures R-1 & R-2 whereas respondents No.4 to 6 have made statements to the effect that they will not cause any harm or loss to the life of petitioners and will not interfere in their life, as is evident from Annexures R-3 to R-5.

As far as question with regard to parentage of petitioner No.1 is concerned, it has been mentioned in the said affidavit that father of petitioner No.1 is Balwinder Singh and not Sheeshpal, as is evident from para No.6 of the said affidavit which reads as under:-

“6. That in compliance of order dated 13.02.2025 ASI Jaswinder Singh verified regarding the name of father of petitioner no.1 and found that Balwinder Singh is the father of the petitioner no.1 and Sheeshpal is not the father of petitioner no.1. The earlier report was filed in the present case by the Police Station City Pehowa inadvertently due to some misunderstanding regarding title of petition but now ASI Jaswinder Singh Police Station Shahabad, District Kurukshetra has recorded the statements of the parties again as enclosed with this reply.”

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioners does not want to press the instant petition any further.

Consequently, nothing survives in the instant petition and the same has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of as such.

01.03.2025

Poonam Negi

**(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No