



111

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-4351-2025Date of Decision: **17.03.2025**

Hardeep Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA

Present: Mr. Ashish Goklaney, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Sehgal, Addl. AG, Punjab.

DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents to grant pension, retiral benefits, gratuity, Provident Fund and leave encashment and other benefits, which have been withheld by the respondents.

2. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from the petitioner, submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, at this stage, if a direction may be issued to respondent No.2 to decide the legal notice dated 01.11.2024 (Annexure P-5), in a time bound manner, to which learned State counsel has no objection to the limited prayer made.

3. In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of

the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the legal notice dated 01.11.2024 (Annexure P-5), within a period of 02 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order in accordance with law.

17.03.2025
Parveen kumar/dinesh

(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No