



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210

CWP-17698-2000 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.07.2025

Jasmeet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Shreesh Kakkar, Advocate for
Mr. Kapil Kakkar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Satnam Preet Singh Chauhan, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Sanchi Bindra, Advocate for
Mr. Amar Vivek Aggarwal, Advocate for respondent No.3.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer made in the present petition for quashing the appointment of respondent No.3 made vide order dated 03.11.2000 as Kanungo, might not, due to efflux of time, even be surviving.

2. Learned State counsel refers to the preliminary objection of the written statement dated 21.03.2001 filed on behalf of respondent No.1 to state that respondent No.3, who was working as Kanungo, was transferred on his request to District Nawanshahr, where he was earlier working, subject to the condition that he will not claim any seniority, in compliance to which he was assigned the duties as Kanungo, while the petitioner was working as Patwari in the said district.

3. Learned counsel also submits that he has been unable to establish contact with the petitioner.

4. Disposed of, reserving the petitioner with a liberty to get it revived, by demonstrating that the *lis* still survives.

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

07.07.2025

Hemant

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No