



CRM-M-8209-2025

-1-

218

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-8209-2025

Date of Decision:- 10.09.2025

Parvej

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Ajay Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Viney Saini, AAG, Haryana.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.(Oral)

1. Petitioner – Parvej has filed petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No. 230 dated 30.07.2024 under Section 80 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 registered at Police Station Quilla Panipat, District Panipat.
2. As per the facts of case, complainant Santosh gave her statement that her eldest daughter Dolly aged about 19 years performed love marriage with Parvej on 21.10.2023. After marriage, Parvej did not allow her daughter to go to her parental house nor she was permitted to meet her. About 4-5 days ago, she met her daughter in street who disclosed that she was facing trouble in matrimonial home while staying with her husband Parvej. He was insisting her to bring Rs. 1,50,000/- from her parental house and he was also raising demand for furniture and motorcycle. Victim explained to her husband that her mother was poor and she was not in a position to satisfy his demand. On 30.07.2024, her



daughter ended life by hanging herself in matrimonial home. After coming to know about the occurrence, complainant went to Government Hospital at Panipat and saw dead body of her daughter. Her daughter committed suicide being fed up with dowry demands and assault by her husband Parvej and she ended her life by hanging herself.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner argued that allegations levelled against petitioner are false. Infact, it was their love marriage. False allegations have been levelled to harass him and to humiliate his family. There was no question of cruelty and maltreatment to Dolly on account of demand of dowry. After their marriage, they had filed CRWP-395-2024 vide which she had sought protection. Copy of order dated 16.01.2024 is Annexure P-2. She was living happily in matrimonial home. Petitioner was arrested in this case on 01.08.2024 and till date he is behind the bars. He will abide by terms and conditions of bail order. Therefore, his regular bail petition may be allowed.

4. Status report filed. Facts narrated in FIR are confirmed. After completion of investigation, challan was presented on 27.09.2024. Copy of Postmortem report and FSL report are Annexures R-1 and R-3 respectively. Disclosure statement of petitioner was also recorded, which is Annexure R-2. Charges have been framed against petitioner vide order dated 09.01.2025 and prosecution evidence is yet to start. There are serious allegations against petitioner. Therefore, he does not deserve regular bail.

5. I have considered the arguments and have gone through the record carefully. Petitioner got married with victim on 09.11.2023 and occurrence took place on 30.07.2024. Therefore, she lived in matrimonial



CRM-M-8209-2025

-3-

home for about 8-9 months. It is a case of unnatural death of deceased victim in matrimonial home by hanging. FIR is lodged by mother of victim who has levelled allegations against petitioner regarding maltreatment and harassment to his daughter on account of demand for cash amount and dowry. Statement of complainant is yet to be recorded. Trial is at initial stage. Considering the aforesaid factual position, at this stage, I do not find a fit case for grant of regular bail to petitioner and petition filed by him is, accordingly, dismissed.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

10.09.2025

lalit

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No