



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

209

CRM-M-8384-2025

Date of decision: 13.05.2025

Pawan Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Arjun Veer Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Shiva Khurmi, AAG, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short, 'BNSS') in case FIR No.112 dated 25.12.2024 under Sections 303(2), 317(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, registered at Police Station Sudhar, District Ludhiana.

2. On 14.02.2025, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, a Coordinate Bench of this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

“Counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that the petitioner was not named in the FIR and was later on nominated as an accused on the basis of disclosure statement suffered by his co-accused Jaskaran Singh @ Godar, Hardeep Singh @ Deepa and Jatinder Kumar from whom police is stated to have recovered one stolen motorcycle, copper wire and cable wire. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that the veracity and admissibility of the disclosure statement, if any, suffered



CRM-M-8384-2025

-2-

by the co-accused against the present petitioner is subject matter of trial. It is further submitted that the petitioner is falsely implicated as an accused in the present case, being a scrap dealer. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that petitioner is ready and willing to join investigation with the police.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 14.02.2025, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Harpreet Singh, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 14.02.2025, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

13.05.2025

Vinay

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No