



211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-1585-2001 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 30-07-2025

SMT. SHAKUNTALA DEVI

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR
COURT, GURGAON & ANR.

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Nayandeep Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Brahmjot Singh Nahar, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is not in touch with the petitioner and does not know as to whether, the petitioner is interested in pursuing the present remedy even after the expiry of 24 years. Hence, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to revive the same in case, the petitioner is interested in pursuing the present remedy so as to seek an order on merit.

2. Ordered accordingly.

3. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

30-07-2025
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES
Whether reportable: NO