



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

127

CRM-M-9429-2023

Decided on : 13.11.2025

Mewa Singh

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. J.S. Singla Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Sukhjant Singh.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, on 28.10.2025,
following order was passed by this Court:-

“ In the instant quashing petition, on 22.02.2023 following order
was passed:

“Petitioner is seeking to quash FIR No. 30 dated
27.04.2010 under Sections 324, 336, 323, 382, 148, 149 IPC and
under Sections 25/27 of Arms Act (later on offence enhanced
under Section 326 IPC), registered at Police Station Dayalpura,
District Bathinda.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the
petitioner was found innocent during the course of enquiry and
Annexure P-2 is the report in this regard. Although, other persons
were arraigned as accused but as per his instructions, the challan
has not been presented against any of the persons till date,
though, the FIR was registered wayback on 27.04.2010.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, DAG Punjab accepts notice on
behalf of the State and seeks adjournment to get instructions and
submit the reply.

Adjourned to 03.05.2023.”

Despite the case being pending for the last more than a period of
two years and FIR was registered 15 years back, till date, no plausible
explanation has come forward from the State as to why the Final Report has not
been submitted to the concerned Court.

One last opportunity is granted, failing which, Court would be
constrained to call for the presence of Sr. Superintendent of Police, Bathinda
before this Court.

Let the copy of this order be sent to the office of Sr.
Superintendent of Police, Bathinda.

Adjourned to 13.11.2025.

To be shown in the urgent list.”



2. Today, learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Sukhjant Singh, informs that final report has already been submitted to the Court of Ld. Area Magistrate on 10.11.2025, against Manpreet Singh and Deepa Singh. Name of the petitioner has been placed in column No.2, declaring him innocent.

3. In view of information given by learned State counsel, petitioner's counsel submits that he does not wish to press the present petition, at this stage.

4. Petition is disposed of, same being not pressed, at this stage.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

November 13, 2025

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*