



CWP-3857-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

CWP-3857-2025 (O&amp;M)

Decided on :13.02.2025

**M/s Mansi Trading Co. through its proprietor Sh. Dahrnender****.....Petitioner****VERSUS****State of Haryana and Ors.****.....Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH  
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR**

Present: Mr. Gaurav Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate and  
Mr. Akshay Sharma, Advocate  
for the respondent-Bank.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUDHIR SINGH, J (ORAL)**

The petitioner seeks quashing of the impugned notice bearing Endst. No.6352-57, Reader No.82 dated 31.01.2025 (Annexure P-4) issued by respondent No.3, alleging the same to be in violation of law as also the judgment dated 11.11.2024 rendered by this Court in CWP-35262-2019 titled M/s Mansi Trading Co. Vs. HDFC Bank Ltd.

2           On 11.02.2025 while issuing notice of motion, this Court passed the following order:-

*‘The present petition challenging the impugned notice dated 31.01.2025 (Annexure P-4) has been filed by petitioner-M/s Mansi Trading Company, through its proprietor Dharmender.*



CWP-3857-2025

2

*Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that account in respect of the petitioner i.e. M/s Mansi Trading Company has already been settled with respondent No.4-bank.*

*Notice of motion.*

*Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate, for respondent No.4-bank is present in Court and files his Memorandum of Appearance. He does not controvert the facts, as stated by the petition. He further submits that so far as M/s Dinesh Flour Mill is concerned, the accounts have not yet been settled with the said mill.*

*From perusal of the impugned notice dated 31.01.2025 (Annexure P-4), it appears that the said notice has been issued in respect of M/s Dinesh Flour Mill as well as M/s M/s Mansi Trading Company through their proprietors.*

*Learned counsel for respondent No.4-bank seeks some time to file response.*

*Put up on 13.02.2025.*

*To be taken up as a first case.'*

3 Today, learned counsel appearing for respondent No.4/Bank has produced in Court a copy of an e-mail received by him from the respondent-Bank. The said communication is taken on record and is marked as Annexure A.

4 On the strength of the aforesaid communication, learned counsel for the respondent-Bank submits that the Bank has decided to approach the office of respondent No.3 on 12.02.2025 itself for issuance of a fresh notice of possession, but due to holiday, the office of respondent No.3 was closed but the respondent-Bank will approach the office of Tehsildar in this regard and the present petition may be disposed of with the aforesaid direction.

5 The stand taken by learned counsel for the respondent-Bank is not objected to by the learned counsel for the petitioner

6 In view of the above, we set aside the impugned notice bearing Endst. No.6352-57, Reader No.82 dated 31.01.2025 (Annexure P-4) and



CWP-3857-2025

3

issue directions to the respondent-Bank to approach the concerned Authority for issuance of a fresh notice in respect of M/s Dinesh Flour Mill through its proprietor Mr. Dinesh, in accordance with law.

- 7
- Disposed of.
- 8
- Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of accordingly.

( SUDHIR SINGH )  
JUDGE

( SUKHVINDER KAUR )  
JUDGE

13.02.2025

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Whether reportable:

Yes/No

Yes/No