

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-8696-2025
Date of decision: 12.03.2025

Shiv Kumar and another

....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. K.P. Sigh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Iqbalpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab

Mr. Gursharan Singh, Advocate,
for Dr. Rabia Gund, Advocate,
for respondent No. 2.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

Instant petition has been preferred under Section 482 Cr. PC seeking quashing of the FIR (Annexure P-1), and all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise effected between the parties on 01.02.2025 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
61	31.05.2023	63 and 65 of the Copyright Act (charged with Section 104 Trade Mark Act 1999 by the Trial Court	E Division, District Amritsar City

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise



287-CRM-M-8696-2025

deed dated 01.02.2025 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties.

3. Learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Amritsar vide report dated 07.03.2025 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. Deposit receipt dated 03.03.2025 amounting to Rs. 20,000/- in compliance to the order dated 18.02.2025 produced by learned counsel for the petitioner is taken on record.

4. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

5. In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Amritsar, dated 07.03.2025 and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another**", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "**Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another**", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant-respondent No. 2 has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 61 dated 31.05.2023 under Sections 63 and 65 of the Copyright Act



287-CRM-M-8696-2025

(charged with Section 104 Trade Mark Act 1999 by the Trial Court registered at Police Station E Division, District Amritsar City (Annexure P-2) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

12.03.2025
preeti

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |