



**211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-5042-2019

Date of Decision: 02.12.2025

Tekan Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Dr. Suresh Kumar Redhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Partap Singh, DAG, Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 08.03.2019, the following order was passed:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner has referred to the details of ACRs of the petitioner since 2005 till 2018 in which his integrity has not been doubted. He further contends that as per enquiry report dated 22.09.2016 (Annexure P-1), there was no evidence regarding charges levelled against the petitioner. However on the basis of suspicion he has been held to be guilty and finally minor punishment of stoppage of one increment with temporary effect has been inflicted upon him and only on the ground of minor punishment, he could not be retired at the age of 55 years under Rule 9.18 of Punjab Police Rules.

Notice of motion for 07.08.2019.

Operation of the impugned notice dated 21.01.2019 (Annexure P-8) is stayed in the meantime.”

2. Learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that the petitioner has retired on attaining the age of 58 years. He continued to work in view of interim order dated 08.03.2019 passed by this Court. He

may be granted liberty to avail remedies as permissible by law *qua* consequential benefits.

3. Learned State counsel does not dispute the aforestated factual and legal position.

4. In the backdrop, order dated 08.03.2019 passed by this Court is hereby made absolute.

5. The petitioner, in view of interim orders of this Court, continued to work till 58 years. No further order is warranted.

6. ***Disposed of*** with liberty to petitioner to avail remedies as permissible by law *qua* consequential benefits.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

02.12.2025
Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No