



107

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-1452-2025
DECIDED ON: 13.02.2025**

AARSH SACHAR**.....PETITIONER****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****.....RESPONDENTS****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**

Present: Mr. B.S. Bhalla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jaspal Singh Guru, AAG Punjab

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking direction to the respondents No.2 to 5 to safeguard the life and liberty of the petitioner and his members.

Learned State counsel informs the Court that a interceptor has been provided in the locality around the house of the petitioner by the concerned SHO falling within the jurisdiction of the house of the petitioner and therefore, no cause of action further survives, which needs to be looked into and examined by the authorities in pursuance to representations dated 10.12.2024 and 23.01.2025 (Annexures P-2 & P-3 respectively) especially when an FIR No.434 dated 07.12.2024 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 351(3), 351(4), 308(4) of BNS has already been registered with police station City Faridkot, District Faridkot.

Learned State counsel submits that earlier a gun man was also provided to the petitioner and the call details of accused persons were tracked, and the same were found to be located at Malaysia.

In the light of above factual aspects as narrated and elaborated by learned State counsel before this Court, no threat perception at present is made out.

Faced with the situation, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the present petition.

Prayer is accepted.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

13.02.2025

Meenu

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>