



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-7912-2025
Date of decision: 07.04.2025

AMANDEEP ALIAS AMANDEEP SINGHPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJABRespondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Gurvinder Singh Aulakh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG Punjab.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 BNSS,
2023, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as
under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
0030	23.12.2024	318(4), 336(2), 336(3), 338, 340(2), 61(2) of BNS and 66 (C), 66(D) of IT Act	Punjab State Cyber Crime, P.S. SAS Nagar, District SAS Nagar (Mohali)

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance
to the order dated 18.03.2025, the petitioner has joined the investigation.
He further submits that the petitioner has deposited an FDR amounting to
Rs.1,80,000/- in favour of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, S.A.S. Nagar,
Mohali in compliance to the order dated 18.03.2025 passed by this Court.



3. During the course of hearing on 18.03.2025, following order had been passed: -

“ Status report dated 25.02.2025 already filed in the Registry in the form of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, State Cyber Crime Cell, Punjab, Phase IV, SAS Nagar, Mohali is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. It is inter alia contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner was not named in the FIR and has been nominated on the basis of disclosure statement of the co-accused Sunil Chauhan on the allegation that he had transferred some amount in the account of the petitioner.

3. He further contends that there is nothing on record to show that the petitioner had ever de-frauded the complainant in any manner. He contends that the aforesaid amount was deposited in the account of the petitioner by accused Sunil Chauhan without the knowledge of the petitioner and the petitioner is even ready to deposit the amount of Rs.1,80,000/- so received in his account in Court to show his bona fide.

4. Be it the case, without commenting on the merits, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation within 02 weeks from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting of Officer/Investigating Officer and subject to his depositing FDR in the amount of Rs.1,80,000/- favoring Chief Judicial Magistrate, S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali which will be kept in safe custody till further orders. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

5. List on 07.04.2025.



6. *Investigating Officer of the case to remain present in Court along with record on that date.”*

4. Learned State counsel on instructions received from Inspector Jujhar Singh informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.

5. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 18.03.2025 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 18.03.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

6. The petition stands allowed.

7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

07.04.2025

puneet

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No