



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-9210-2025
Date of decision: 25.03.2025

KULDEEP @ KULDEEP RAM AND OTHERS Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Arjun Veer Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

 Mr. Rajinder Singh Bhatta, DAG Punjab.

 Mr. Vishal R. Lamba, Advocate for respondent No.2.

.....
SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 09.01.2025 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
79	11.09.2024	115(2), 118(1), 333, 191(3), 190 of BNS, 2023 117(3) added later on	Sanaur, District Patiala

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise/affidavit dated 09.01.2025 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at



-2-

between the parties. Learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Patiala, vide report dated 07.03.2025, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. In compliance to the order dated 19.02.2025, an amount of Rs.30,000/- has been deposited with the Poor Patients Welfare Fund, PGIMER, Chandigarh and receipt thereof is annexed with the report.

3. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Patiala, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No.79 dated 11.09.2024, under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 333, 191(3), 190 of BNS, 2023 117(3) added later on, registered at Police Station Sanaur, District Patiala (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

25.03.2025
puneet

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No