



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

205

CWP-15034-2001 (O&M)

Date of decision: 02.04.2025

Kewal Krishan and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. I.S. Saggu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer made in the present petition is for directing the respondents to grant to the petitioners cash payment in lieu of unutilized leave due on retirement in accordance with the Punjab Civil Services Rules Volume-I Part-I.
2. Reliance was placed to the decision in **Prem Mohini Sadana vs. State of Punjab and others**, CWP-4272-1989 decided on 29.11.1990, Annexure P-3, regarding which in the written statement filed in 2002, it had been stated that the same is admitted, but a wrong writ petition was mentioned therein i.e. CWP-4227-1989 stated to be admitted.
3. Learned counsel, therefore, states that the claim of the petitioners may be considered in a time bound manner in view of the above judgment, to which learned State counsel has no objection.
4. In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, these petitions are hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the claim of the petitioners, taking note of the aforesaid judgment, within a period of 6 months, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner. Upon doing so, after notice and hearing offered to them and if found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to their interest, the same shall contain reasons and the petitioners shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

02.04.2025

Hemant

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No