



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-3887-2025

Date of Decision: 13.02.2025

Jagdish Rai

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Vijay Deep Rathee, Advocate, for the petitioner.

None for UOI.

Mr. Rajesh Hooda, Advocate, for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition filed under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to restore his pension.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. Rajesh Hooda, Advocate, who on advance notice is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.2 and 3 and Ms. Rajni Gupta, Advocate, who on advance notice is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.4. They expressed their inability to controvert the fact that issue involved in the instant petition is no more *res integra* and is squarely covered by judgment dated 12.09.2024 of this Court passed in ***Inderjit Singh Kaknian and others vs. Union of India and others, 2024 SCC OnLine P&H 12076.***

4. Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of in terms of judgment of this Court in ***Inderjit Singh Kaknian (supra).***

5. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

13.02.2025

shivani

Whether reasoned/speaking

Whether reportable

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)

JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No