



130

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-3778-2025

Date of Decision: 11.02.2025

KARAN SINGH AND OTHERS

..... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Ms. Sonia G. Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Aman Bahri, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.


JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Mr. Aman Bahri, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3 and filed his memo of appearance. The same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

2. The petitioners through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to respondents to deploy them as per Rule 8 of Deployment of Contractual Employment Policy, 2022.

3. The petitioners are Data Entry Operators. They for 45 days were engaged by Haryana State Agriculture Marketing Board (for short 'HSAMB'). They have not been engaged for the subsequent season.

4. Mr. Bahri submits that they send requisition to Haryana



Kaushal Rozgar Nigam Limited (for short ‘HKRNL’) as and when there is need of Date Entry Operators. It is discretion of HKRNL to deploy the work force.

5. Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. AG, Haryana submits that HKRNL is deploying work force as and when there is requisition. It is not necessary that same set of employees should be deployed for the same job if it is seasonal work. The petitioners are in the pool and they would be considered not only for HSAMB but for other organizations as and when there is requisition. They would be considered and deployed as per 2022 policy as amended.

6. This Court is sanguine of the fact that HKRNL would deploy petitioners in accordance with its policy and they would not be subjected to discrimination.

7. Disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

11.02.2025
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No