



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

101

CWP-16443-1998(O&M)

Decided on:04.03.2025

Kirpal Singh

. . . Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Civil Supplies Corporation Ltd.

. . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Parveen Kumar Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manbir Singh Batth, Advocate
for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for quashing the order dated 01.02.1997 (Annexure P-4) as well as the order dated 27.07.1998 (Annexure P-7).

2. Learned counsel for the respondent has raised the preliminary objection and has submitted that the impugned order is appealable whereas in the writ petition/amended writ petition, it has been stated that no other efficacious and speedy remedy is available.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in view of the said objection, the petitioner be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file the statutory appeal in accordance with law.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that since the petitioner was pursuing the present remedy, thus, in case, the petitioner files the appeal within a period of one month from today, then



the Appellate Authority be directed not to dismiss the same solely on the ground of limitation.

5. Learned counsel for the respondent has fairly submitted that he has no objection to the above course of action.

6. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the petitioner is permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file a statutory appeal.

7. In case, the statutory appeal is filed within a period of one month from today, then the appellate Authority is directed not to dismiss the same solely on the ground of limitation

04.03.2025

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/~~No~~
~~Yes~~/No

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE