



ARB-96-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

212

ARB-96-2025

Date of Decision: 11.07.2025

M/s A2Z Infra Engineering Limited

...Applicant

Versus

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Vinay Kumar Pandey, Advocate for the applicant
Ms. Simran Sharma, Advocate
for Mr. Sanjeev Kaushik, Advocate for the respondent

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Through instant application under Section 11(6) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short '**1996 Act**'), the applicant is seeking appointment of an Arbitrator.
2. Pursuant to tender, the applicant was awarded work by the respondent. Thereafter, agreements were executed between the parties. A dispute erupted between the parties. There is an arbitration clause in the tender document. The applicant served notice upon the respondent seeking resolution of dispute through Arbitral Tribunal but to no avail.
3. Learned counsel for the respondent expressed her inability to controvert existence of arbitration clause in tender document and service of notice.



4. Conditions to invoke power conferred by Section 11(6) of 1996 Act stand satisfied, thus, I hereby appoint a Sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the dispute between the parties.

5. Mr. Justice D.K. Jain, Former Judge of Supreme Court, residing at C-3/4, Ground Floor, Safdarjung Development Area, New Delhi, Mobile No.9999922288 is hereby appointed as a Sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the dispute between the parties, subject to compliance of statutory requirements. The learned Arbitrator is requested to comply with mandate of Section 12 of 1996 Act before proceeding further.

6. The parties at the first instance will appear before the Arbitrator on 28.07.2025 at 10:00 AM and thereafter, as directed by learned Arbitrator.

7. The Arbitrator shall be paid fee in accordance with the Fourth Schedule of the 1996 Act, as amended.

8. The Arbitrator is requested to complete the proceedings as per time limit specified under Section 29-A of the 1996 Act.

9. Needless to mention, parties would be at liberty to raise all the claims/defences/counter claims/pleas before the Arbitrator. Any observation made hereinabove will not be binding on the learned Arbitrator.

10. A request letter along with copy of this order be sent to Mr. Justice D.K. Jain.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

11.07.2025
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No