



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-8010-2025

Date of Decision:02.04.2025

Sita Ram

...Petitioner

VS.

State of Haryana

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Raman Chawla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.31, dated 23.01.2025, under Sections 15(b),18(c) and 29/61 of NDPS Act, registered at Police Station Agroha, Hisar, District Hisar (Annexure P-1).

2. While granting the concession of interim anticipatory bail by this Court on 13.02.2025, the following contentions were noticed by this Court and the same have been reproduced below:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per the allegations levelled by the complainant in the present case, Rohtash Bagdi and Sushil Bengali, both co-accused, were apprehended by the police with the contraband and the petitioner was not present at the place of recovery. Even the quantity of contraband, which was allegedly recovered from the co-accused, does not fall within the ambit of ‘commercial quantity’. He further submits that the petitioner has been nominated as an accused on the basis of disclosure statements made by the co-accused and

there is no other evidence to connect the petitioner with the alleged crime. Learned counsel further contends that the petitioner was never involved in any other criminal case and is ready to join the investigation.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has reiterated the submissions and further submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation may not be required.
4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Pawan Kumar, Police Station Agroha, District Hisar also submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.
5. In view of the above statement made by learned counsel for the parties, the interim order dated 13.02.2025 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S.

02.04.2025
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No