



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 8404 of 2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 02.07.2025**

Paramjit Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Lovedeep Sandhu, Advocate and
Mr. Ajay Chauhan, Advocate for
Mr. Navjeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

CRM-23360-2025

Application under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for placing on record copy of order dated 11.01.2023 (A-2) passed by this Court in CRM-M-23336 of 2017 as well as exemption from filing certified/typed copy of the same.

(2) Notice of the application to the non-applicant/respondents.

(3) Mr. Shiva Khurmi, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of non-applicant/respondent No. 1 and raises no objection to the present application.



(4) In view of the above and for the reasons mentioned in the application, same is allowed as prayed for subject to all just exceptions.

Registry to tag the same at appropriate place.

CRM-M No. 8404 of 2025

Present petition under Section 528 of BNSS for quashing of complaint No.79 dated 11.12.2008 (P-1) titled as “Surinder Kumar Vs. Narinder Singh and Others” under Sections 307, 323, 324, 341, 452, 427, 447, 506, 120B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 alongwith all consequential proceedings as well as summoning order dated 15.10.2015 (P-3) passed by learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Patiala (for short ‘JMIC’) and also order dated 10.04.2017 (P-4) whereby revision petition against the aforesaid summoning order was dismissed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Patiala.

(2) It transpires that on earlier occasion a similar petition bearing No. CRM-M-23336-2017 was filed by petitioner No. 2, but the same was dismissed as withdrawn on 11.01.2023 before Co-ordinate Bench and the order reads as under :

“ Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since the petitioner has been residing abroad, he seeks permission to withdraw the present petition, at this stage.

Accordingly, this petition is ordered to be dismissed as withdrawn, at this stage.”

(3) Also noteworthy that both petitioners were declared as proclaimed offenders by learned JMIC, way back on 30.05.2017 and this fact has not been disclosed by them. Also transpires that petitioners are residing in Canada; thus successfully evading the process of law.



(4) Apparently, there is an active concealment on the part of petitioners while not disclosing the order dated 30.05.2017, whereby they were declared as proclaimed offenders; thus petitioners have no respect for the rule of law.

(5) In view of the above, present petition deserves to be dismissed with costs.

(6) However, taking into consideration the old age of petitioners, present petition is dismissed without any costs.

(7) The above observations be not construed as expression of opinion on the merits of case in any manner.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

2nd July, 2025
kavneet singh

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No