



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

222

CRM-M-7724-2025

Date of decision :27.03.2025

GURTEJ SINGH

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

..... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. S. SHEKHAWAT

Present :- Mr. A.S. Nirmaan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

N. S. SHEKHAWAT, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of B.N.S.S with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.206, dated 06.10.2024, under Section 420 of IPC & Section 24 of Immigration Act, registered at Police Station Dasuya, District Hoshiarpur (Annexure P-1).

2. While granting the concession of interim anticipatory bail by this Court on 10.02.2025, the following contentions were noticed by this Court and the same have been reproduced below:-

“At the very outset, without admitting his guilt, learned counsel for the petitioner has voluntarily agreed to deposit the disputed amount i.e. Rs.3,00,000/- with the Trial Court/Area Magistrate within a period of four weeks from today, just to show his bona fides.



CRM-M-7724-2025

2

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely involved in the present case at the instance of the local M.LA of the ruling party. He further contends that the allegations in the FIR have been primarily levelled against Mohammad Anwar and the petitioner had no concern with the amount paid by the complainant in the present case. He further contends that even Mohammad Anwar had issued a cheque bearing No. 303432, dated 31.10.2023 from his account bearing No.01542191032624 to the complainant. However, the said cheque was dishonoured and the complainant had already filed a complaint under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act against Mohammad Anwar only.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has reiterated the submissions and further submits that the petitioner has already deposited a sum of Rs.3,00,000/- in the trial Court in compliance of the order dated 10.02.2025 passed by this Court, and has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation may not be required.

4. Learned State counsel also submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the above statement made by learned counsel for the parties, the interim order dated 10.02.2025 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482 (2) of B.N.S.S.

(N. S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

27.03.2025

vipin

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No