



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

107

CRWP-1578-2025(O&M)  
Date of Decision: 15.02.2025

ARCHANA

....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....Respondent

**CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA**

Present: Ms. Pragti Kumari, Advocate and  
Mr. Anshul Sharma, Advocate for  
Mr. Nitin Mittoo, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

**MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)**

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ, order, or direction to the respondents no. 1 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondent Nos. 5 to 8 and take action on her representation dated 17.01.2025 (Annexure P-3).

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has recently filed a representation on 17.01.2025 before the Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar (Mohali) (Annexure P-3) raising her grievance as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar (Mohali) to decide the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioner and take necessary action thereon.

3. Notice of motion.
4. Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to her during the course of the day.
5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioner, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No. 3 to decide the aforesaid representation dated 17.01.2025 (Annexure P-3) of the petitioner within a period of one month, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioner, the official respondents would provide her adequate security in order to protect her life and liberty.

**15.02.2025**  
Deepak Patwal

**( MANISHA BATRA )**  
**JUDGE**

- |    |                                  |               |
|----|----------------------------------|---------------|
| 1. | <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| 2. | <i>Whether reportable</i>        | <i>Yes/No</i> |