



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(259)

CWP No. 16222 of 2000 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 10.07.2025

Malkiat Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Union Territory, Chandigarh and
another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, either learned counsel for the petitioner is not appearing or when appearing, the adjournment is sought. On 06.05.2025, learned counsel for the petitioner sought an adjournment to go through the judgment which covers the case against him. Thereafter, again on 08.07.2025, the adjournment was sought and the following order was passed :-

“Despite the fact that the present writ petition is pending for the last 25 years, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for an adjournment and that too without any valid reason.

Adjourned to 10.07.2025.”

2. Today, no one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner. It can be safely presumed that petitioner is not interested in pursuing the present remedy.



- 3. Dismissed for non-prosecution.
- 4. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

July 10, 2025
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No