



CRWP-1352-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114

**CRWP-1352-2025
Decided on: 07.04.2025**

Kulwant Singh**.....Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab and others****.....Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of appropriate writ in the nature of mandamus directing the official respondents No. 2 and 3 to provide adequate security to the petitioner and his family members at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 9.

2. On 11.02.2025, following order was passed:


***“Present: Mr. Deepak Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.***

Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of directions to official respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of the petitioner and his family at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 9.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for some time to produce some evidence to satisfy this court on prima facie basis that the petitioner and his wife have been caused injuries by private respondents on account of the complaint moved against them regarding running of drugs business.

List on 24.02.2025.”

3. On 24.02.2025, following order was passed:



*“Present: Mr. Jaswinder Singh Rana, Advocate for
Mr. Deepak Arora, Advocate for the
petitioner.
Mr. Amandeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

*Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner
seeks an adjournment as arguing counsel is not
available.
On request, adjourned to 12.03.2025.”*

4. On 12.02.2025, following order was passed:

*“Present: Ms. Anmol Thakur, Advocate for
Mr. Deepak Arora, Advocate for the
petitioner.
Mr. Amandeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

*Counsel appearing for the petitioner seeks an
adjournment, as the arguing counsel is in personal
difficulty.
On request, adjourned to 07.04.2025.”*

5. Today, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.
Despite granting three opportunities, no explanation is submitted by the
petitioner, thus, present petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

April 07, 2025
rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/~~NO~~
Whether Reportable: ~~YES~~/NO