



**CM-2846-CWP-2025 and
CM-2847-CWP-2025 in/and
CWP-14411-2003** 1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(103+530)

**CM-2846-CWP-2025 and
CM-2847-CWP-2025 in/and
CWP-14411-2003
Date of Decision : March 01, 2025**

Chief Administrator, Faridabad

.. Petitioner

Versus

Jag Ram and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Jhamb, Advocate for respondent No.1.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

CM-2846-CWP-2025

Present application has been filed for impleading the legal heirs of deceased Jag Ram-respondent No.1, who unfortunately died on 18.12.2024 during the pendency of the present writ petition.

Keeping in view the averments made in the application, which are duly supported by an affidavit, present application is allowed. Legal heirs of respondent No.1-Jag Ram, the details of whom are given in para 2 of the application, are allowed to be impleaded in the present writ petition and the amended memo of parties attached with the present application is taken on record.



**CM-2846-CWP-2025 and
CM-2847-CWP-2025 in/and
CWP-14411-2003** 2

CM-2847-CWP-2025

Present application has been filed for fixing the main writ petition at an early actual date.

Notice of the application to the counsel opposite.

Mr. Anil Shukla, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1. He raises no objection for the grant of prayer as raised in the present application.

Keeping in view the averments made in the application, which are duly supported by an affidavit, the application is allowed and the main writ petition is taken up for hearing today itself.

CWP-14411-2003

1. In the present writ petition, challenge is to the Award dated 30.10.2000 (Annexure P-1) of the Labour Court. Notice of motion in the present case was issued in September, 2003 and thereafter, the same was admitted on 05.08.2004 and the same is pending consideration before this Court for the last more than two decades.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent No.1 submits that the impugned Award dated 30.10.2000 (Annexure P-1) has already been implemented and the respondent-employee has already been reinstated in service in pursuance to the Award dated 30.10.2000 (Annexure P-1) and thereafter, his services were regularized and he has also retired from service on attaining the age of superannuation.

3. No one appears on behalf of the petitioner to rebut the said contention.



**CM-2846-CWP-2025 and
CM-2847-CWP-2025 in/and
CWP-14411-2003 3**

4. Once the Award dated 30.10.2000 (Annexure P-1) has been implemented qua the reinstatement and the services of the respondent-workman had also been regularized and thereafter he has also retired from service, it can be safely presumed that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the present writ petition.

5. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

March 01, 2025
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No