



224

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-7905-2025****Date of Decision: 18.02.2025**

Malkeet Singh @ Baba Duhi

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Ms. Isha Goyal, Advocate with
Mr. Raja Paramdeep Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepinder Singh Brar, Senior DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail in case FIR No.180 dated 13.12.2023 registered under Sections 307, 323, 34 of IPC (Section 201 of IPC added later on) and Sections 25 and 27/54/59 of Arms Act, 1959, at Police Station Chatiwind, District Amritsar Rural.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR in the present case was registered with regard to an alleged incident dated 13.12.2023. In fact, during the pendency of the investigation, the petitioner and his co-accused had entered into a compromise with the complainant side on 05.02.2024. Even the petitioner and his co-accused had approached this Court with a prayer to quash the FIR on the basis of the compromise. However, the same was withdrawn from this Court on 02.09.2024. Subsequently, the petitioner was arrested by the police on 18.11.2024 and is in custody since then.



She further contends that after completion of investigation, challan has already been presented against the petitioner. However, the trial is not even formally commenced against the petitioner.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that there are other cases registered against the petitioner.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In the present case, admittedly, both the parties have entered into a compromise vide compromise deed (Annexure P-3). The petitioner is in custody for the last more than 03 months and the injured has already been discharged from the hospital.

6. Thus, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

18.02.2025
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No