



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.8889 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 01.05.2025**

Karanjeet

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate for the applicant/petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Sehrawat, DAG, Haryana
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Yashwinder Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

CRM-12147-2025

Application for placing on record the copies of
Compromise/Memorandum of Undertaking dated 18.12.2024 and order of
chargesheet dated 08.10.2024 as Annexures P-7 & P-8, respectively, with
further prayer seeking exemption from filing certified copies thereof.

2025:PHHC:056819



- (2) Notice of the application to the non-applicants/respondents.
- (3) Mr. Ashok Kumar Sehrawat, learned DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of non-applicant/respondent No.1 and submits that he has no objection if the present application is allowed.
- (4) Mr. Yashwinder Singh, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the non-applicant/respondent No.2 and raises no objection to the present application.
- (5) In view of the above and for the reasons mentioned in the application, same is allowed subject to all just exceptions. Annexures P-7 & P-8 are taken on record. Registry will tag the same at appropriate place.

MAIN CASE

Present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (*for short* 'Cr.P.C. ') for grant of bail pending trial to the petitioner in FIR No.605 dated 27.07.2023 (P-1), under Sections 180, 323, 379-B, 506 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Karnal City, District Karnal.

- (2) Custody Certificate dated 30.04.2025 of the petitioner has been produced and which is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to the opposite side. Registry to tag the same at appropriate place.
- (3) Allegations are that petitioner, along with other co-accused, in furtherance of their common intention, inflicted injuries on the person of *de facto* complainant-Tajinder Pal Singh and his father-Surinder Singh;

2025:PHHC:056819



snatched an amount of Rs.22,000/- from complainant and also criminally intimidated them.

(4) Contends that petitioner remained in custody since 06.01.2024 till he was granted interim bail by this Court on 22.04.2024. Also contends that petitioner is regularly appearing before learned trial Court. Further contends that after investigation, final report was presented on 04.04.2024 and charges were framed on 08.10.2024; but out of total 15 prosecution witnesses, none has been examined till date. Again contends that petitioner has not misused the interim concession in any manner. Lastly contends that co-accused, namely, Gagandeep Singh has already been granted the concession of regular bail by learned trial Court vide order dated 18.08.2023.

(5) The aforesaid factual position is not denied by learned State Counsel as well as learned Counsel for the complainant; however, learned Counsel for the complainant vehemently opposed the prayer on the premise that interim bail was granted to the petitioner just to facilitate the mediation proceedings; but he has miserably failed to cooperate in the matter. Further submits that petitioner is harassing the complainant as his relatives are not coming forward for settlement of the dispute.

(6) Heard learned Counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book.

(7) It transpires that petitioner was granted interim bail by this Court on 22.04.2024 and the order passed in the main case reads as under:-

2025:PHHC:056819



“Contends, inter alia, that petitioner is ready to settle the matter with respondent No. 2 on some amicable terms at their own level.

On joint request of the parties, matter is referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court and both sides i.e. petitioner as well as respondent No. 2 to appear before the Mediator on 24.04.2024.

Posted for 30.04.2024, to await the report of the Mediator.

To facilitate the mediation, applicant-petitioner be released on interim bail in the present case till the next date of hearing on furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds subject to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.”

(8) It is not in dispute that final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. was presented on 04.04.2024; charges have already been framed on 08.10.2024; out of total 15 prosecution witnesses, none has been examined till date and more particularly, the co-accused has already been granted bail pending trial by learned trial Court. Moreover, it is not the objection raised by learned State Counsel that after releasing on interim bail, petitioner has misused the concession or in case petitioner is released on bail, he may influence the prosecution witnesses and/or hamper the trial.

(9) In view of the above, there is no justification to prolong the incarceration of the petitioner any further.

(10) Consequently, present petition is allowed. Petitioner be admitted to bail pending trial on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the

2025:PHHC:056819



satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(11) Petitioner shall fully co-operate with learned trial Court without seeking any unnecessary adjournments.

(12) The above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of case.

(13) It is clarified that in case there is any misuse of concession of bail on the part of the petitioner, State would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

1st May, 2025
Gagan

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No