

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP-548-2024(O&M)
Date of decision: 22.12.2025

Sukhdev Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Abhitesh Singh Sandhu and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Harjot Singh Bedi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.


Ms. Shiny Chopra, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Jaskirat Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate,
for respondents No.3 & 4.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J. (ORAL)

The instant contempt petition alleges willful disobedience of order dated 13.09.2023, passed in CWP-16762-2023 (Annexure P-1):-

“5. In view of the pleadings in the present petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 01.06.2023 (Annexure P-3), respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide the legal notice, by passing a speaking order within a period of 8 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. It is further directed that if on decision of the legal notice, the petitioner is found entitled to the claim mentioned in the abovesaid legal notice, necessary disbursement of funds shall be made to the petitioner within a period of 8 weeks thereafter, subject to there being no legal impediment in releasing the said funds to the petitioner.”



2. After having argued at some length, learned counsel for respondents No.3 & 4, on instructions from Mr. Charanjit Singh, President, Bhatti Wala Multipurpose Cooperative Agriculture Service Society Ltd., submits that a sum of Rs.8,00,000/- out of the total balance amount of Rs.14,35,728/- shall be paid to the petitioner within a period of one week from today, and the remaining amount shall be paid in two installments by December 2026.
3. That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the instant contempt petition be disposed of in view of the statement given by learned counsel for respondents No.3 & 4, as no grievance subsists at this stage. However, the petitioner be granted a liberty to approach this Court again in case of non-compliance of the statement given in the Court today.
4. Accordingly, the present contempt petition is disposed of in terms of the statements given by learned counsel for the parties, with the liberty prayed for.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

December 22, 2025

Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No