

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2025.PHHC:155035



(102-A)

CRM-M-7411-2025
Decided on : 11.11.2025

Rishipal SinghPetitioner(s)
Versus
State of HaryanaRespondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Vinod Ghai, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate,
Mr. Arnav Ghai, Advocate and
Mr. R.S. Bagga, for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Kunwar Rajan, Advocate for the complainant.

Sumeet Goel (Oral):

1. Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner under
Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 in criminal case having FIR No.143 dated
11.11.2024 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC, at
Police Station DLF-II, District Gurugram.

2. On 18.02.2025, the following order was passed:

*“Learned counsel for the parties jointly submit that there
may be a possibility of the compromise between the parties.*

*Consequently, with the consent of the learned counsel for
the parties, the matter is referred to Mediation and Conciliation
Centre of this Court to explore the possibility of compromise and
the parties are directed to appear before the Mediation and
Conciliation Centre of this Court on 20.02.2025.*

*Learned Mediator is also requested to take help of the
counselor also in the present case.*

Adjourned to 17.03.2025.

Without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the arrest of the petitioner shall remain stayed only for the limited purpose of exploring the possibility of compromise between the parties.

In case, the compromise does not materialise, the matter shall be heard and decided on merits.”

3. On 03.05.2025, the following order was passed:

“In the present case, the petitioners as well as the complainant have relied upon two different agreements to sell, which have already been taken into possession by the police and have been sent to the FSL.

Learned State counsel submits that the FSL report with regard to both the agreements is still awaited.

The Commissioner of Police, Gurugam is directed to get in touch with the Director of FSL in the present case and to ensure that the FSL reports are submitted on or before the next date of hearing. The Director, RFSL, Bhondsi is also directed to cooperate with the Commissioner of Police, Gurugram in this regard.

At the very outset, learned Senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner(s) in CRM-M-7406-2025 & CRM-M-7411-2025 on instructions from his clients submits that the petitioners are ready to give their specimen handwriting and signatures and they are willing to appear before the Area Magistrate/Duty Magistrate for the said purpose. Both the petitioners are directed to appear before the Area Magistrate/Duty Magistrate at about 10:A.M. on 06.05.2025 or any other day convenient to Court and they shall provide their specimen handwriting/signatures to the Investigating Officer in the present case.

In the meantime, the petitioner in CRM-M-20498-2025 is ordered to be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

Adjourned to 28.05.2025.

Interim order to continue in CRM-M-7406-2025 & CRM-M 7411-2025.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.”

4. Learned State counsel (on instructions ASI Anil) has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation but his custodial interrogation is required for effecting recovery of the money in question.

5. Learned Senior counsel appearing for the complainant has vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner by arguing that allegations raised against the petitioner are serious in nature and in case the petitioner is extended the concession of anticipatory bail, there is all the likelihood that he may interfere with the investigation as also intimidate the witnesses and also the FIR-complainant.

6. Keeping in view the factual milieu of the case in hand, especially the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated therein except recovery of money in question, this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 03.05.2025 granting interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

7. Ordered accordingly.

8. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

9. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition

stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

10. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

11. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

November 11, 2025
Naveen

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No