

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

101

FAO-1689-1992
Decided on:14.01.2025

NA

. . . Appellant

Versus

NA

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: None for the appellant.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. The present appeal pertains to the year 1992.
2. None has appeared on behalf of the appellant to inform the Court as to whether any cause survives in the present appeal.
3. Dismissed for non-prosecution.
4. Liberty is granted to the appellant to revive the appeal, in case, any cause of action survives.

14.01.2025

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Whether reportable?

(VIKAS BAHL)

JUDGE

Yes/~~No~~

~~Yes~~/No