

2025:PHHC:022273



116.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-7426-2025

Date of decision: 15.02.2025

Pardeep alias Pradeep

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Ms. Veena Hooda, Advocate, for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents to take legal action on his complaint/representation dated 23.01.2025 (Annexure P-3), as the police is pressurizing him to make vehicle agreement in favour of Bhupender (petitioner's friend) to whom the petitioner had given his vehicle on account of his (Bhupender's) father's treatment, but on his father's recovery when the petitioner asked to return the vehicle, Bhupender refused for the same.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a complaint/representation was given on 23.01.2025 (Annexure P-3) to the respondent No.3, however, it had failed to yield any response. It has been submitted that the official respondents were under a legal obligation to pass an appropriate order on his complaint. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.3-

Deputy Commissioner of Police, District Jhajjar, to look into the representation dated 23.01.2025 (Annexure P-3) of the petitioner and take appropriate steps in accordance with law.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Gagandeep Singh Chhina, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

5. In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of and respondent No.3-Deputy Commissioner of Police, District Jhajjar, is directed to look into the grievance of the petitioner and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.3 does not find any substance in the allegations levelled in the representation dated 23.01.2025 (Annexure P-3), then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in *Lalita Kumari Versus Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors., 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979*.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

February 15, 2025

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No