



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

205

**CRM-M- 7669-2025(O&M)
Date of Decision: 14.05.2025**

Sunny Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Jainainder Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 20 dated 14.01.2025, under Sections 110, 115 & 351(3) read with Section 3 (5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, registered at Police Station Agroha, District Hisar.

2. Allegations are that petitioner along with other accused persons in furtherance of their common intention inflicted serious injuries on the persons of *de facto*-complainant-Sunil Kumar using their respective weapons with an intention to kill him and also criminally intimidated.



3. Contends that petitioner was granted interim bail by this Court on 17.03.2025 and in pursuance thereof, he has already joined investigation; hence, his custodial interrogation is not required.

4. The above factual position is not disputed by learned State Counsel, on instructions from SI-Mahinder Singh submits that his custodial interrogation is not required at this stage.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book.

6. It transpires that petitioner was granted interim protection by this Court on 17.03.2025 and the order reads as under:-

“Contends, inter alia, that in view of the status report dated 18.02.2025, injury attributed to the petitioner is opined to be simple in nature.

Learned State counsel seeks time to have instructions in the matter.

Posted for 14.05.2025.

In the meanwhile, petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Officer, but he be not arrested till the next date of hearing.”

7. It is duly acknowledged by learned State Counsel that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, petitioner has joined investigation and as on today, his custodial interrogation is not required.

8. In view of the above, there is no justification to deny the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Consequently, present petition is allowed; interim order dated 17.03.2025 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS.



9. It is also made clear that petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.
10. The above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on merits of the case; rather confined only to decide the bail matter.
11. It is also clarified that in case of any recurrence on the part of petitioner, State would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

14.05.2025
Harish Kumar

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No