



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

120

CWP-3753-2025

Date of decision: 11.02.2025

SIDDHARTH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Vikas Kumar, Advocate and
Ms. Monika Sangwan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG, Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has approached this Court for quashing the action of the respondent No.2-HSSC of not considering the petitioner eligible and qualified under BC-A category for the post of Patwari and Gram Sachiv (Group-56, Categories-334) and against CET phase II Advst. No. 04/2024 dated 28.06.2024 in the final result dated 17.10.2024 (Annexure P-9).

Learned State Counsel submits that similar controversy had also been raised before a Coordinate Bench of this Court in ***CWP-17852-2024*** titled as ***“Naveen and others versus State of Haryana and others”***. She submits that the claim of the petitioners has already been allowed by the Coordinate Bench vide order dated



CWP-3753-2025

-2-

05.02.2025.

The present writ petition is accordingly allowed in terms of order dated 05.02.2025 passed in *CWP-17852-2024* titled as “*Naveen and others (supra)*”.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

FEBRUARY 11, 2025

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No