



CRWP-1293-2025

-1-

**110 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
CRWP-1293-2025
Date of Decision: 07.02.2025**

Rukama and another Petitioners
Versus
State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr.A.S.Khosa, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners have filed the present petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of private respondents No.4 to 14 and not to harass or interfere in the peaceful life of the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that both the petitioners are major and are living in live-in relationship against the wishes of private respondents. He submits that petitioner No.1 is married with respondent No.4 and mother of three children. He further submits that husband of petitioner No.1 was a drug addict and he used to beat her, thus, she left her matrimonial house and the children are living with respondent No.4. He submits that petitioner No.1 has already filed petition under Section 13(1) of the Hindu Marriage Act for dissolution of her marriage, which is pending adjudication. It is further submitted that the petitioners apprehend danger from respondents No.4 to 14. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 05.02.2025 (Annexure P-4) to respondent no.2 for granting protection to their life and liberty.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.



CRWP-1293-2025

-2-

4. Mr. J.S. Arora, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State.

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent no.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, to decide the representation (Annexure P-4) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

6. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of their live-in relationship.

07.02.2025

sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned
Whether Reportable

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

: Yes/No
: Yes/No