

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

217

2025.PHHG.152915-08



LPA No. 218 of 2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 06th November, 2025

Board of School Education, Haryana

..Appellant

Versus

Mehakdeep Kaur and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: Mr. K.K. Gupta, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Divyam Singh, Advocate
for respondents no. 1 to 59.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J(Oral)

1. In the present case, challenge is to the order dated 10.01.2022 passed in CWP-19780 of 2021 wherein the order quashing class XII result was set aside.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant-Board submits that by the common order dated 10.01.2022, three writ petitions were decided by learned Single Judge and as a consequence thereupon, three Letters Patent Appeals were filed.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant further submits that two Letters Patent Appeals arising out of the same judgment being LPAs No. 580 and 629 of 2022 have already been decided by the Co-ordinate Bench on 30.08.2022. Therefore, the present appeal to be also decided in the same terms.

4. Learned counsel for respondents on the other hand submits that there is difference between the LPAs already decided and the present LPA as the LPAs which were decided pertained to Class-X students whereas in present LPA, students are of Class-XII and their result had already been

declared, therefore, the same needs to be decided separately.

5. We have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

6. Once, no such difference was pointed out by the respondents before the learned Single Judge, while deciding all the writ petitions by a common order dated 10.01.2022, raising such a plea in LPA that the claim of the respondents herein is different cannot be accepted.

7. Further, the only differentiating fact being pointed out in the present case is that the students in the decided LPAs were of class-X whereas, the private respondents in the present appeal are the students of Class-XII. The same will not make any difference, once the reason for allowing the appeals i.e. LPA 580 and 629 of 2022, filed against the same judgment of the learned Single Judge, given by the Co-ordinate Bench, is on the basis of entitlement.

8. Keeping in view the above, as the impugned order dated 10.01.2022 has already been set aside by the Co-ordinate Bench, while deciding other two LPAs vide order dated 30.08.2022, the said judgment cannot be upheld in the present case merely on the asking of the private respondents herein as all the petitions were decided by the common order.

9. Resultantly, the present appeal is also disposed of in terms of decision in LPAs No. 580 and 629 of 2022.

10. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

06th November, 2025

reema

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes*
Whether reportable : *No*